



Planning
Institute
Australia



WESTERN AUSTRALIA

AWARDS FOR
PLANNING
EXCELLENCE

WESTERN AUSTRALIA

NOMINATION GUIDELINES 2026

CONTENTS

Award Information

Award Categories	3
Terms and Conditions	4
Frequently Asked Questions	5
Compulsory Materials for Project Categories	7
Compulsory Materials for Emerging Planner of the Year	8
Compulsory Materials for Planning Champion	8
State Category Materials	9

National Award Categories

Great Place	10
Strategic Planning Project	11
Improving Planning Processes	12
Emerging Planner of the Year	13
Climate Change & Resilience	14
Community Wellbeing & Diversity	15
Stakeholder Engagement	16
Planning with Country	17
Technology & Digital Innovation	19
Planning Research	20
Tertiary Student Project	21

State Only Categories

Planning Champion	22
Performance and Innovation in Development Award	23
Secondary Student Project	24
Consultancy of the Year	25
Local Government Team of the Year (Band 1)	26
Local Government Team of the Year (Band 2-4)	27
High Impact by a Small Team	28
WA Regional Project	29
Transport Planning Project	30
Leadership and Service Award	31
The W E Bold Memorial Medal	32
PIA WA President's Awards	33
Minister's Award	33

AWARD CATEGORIES

There are two types of Award categories:

GENERAL CATEGORIES

Offered at a State/Territory level, with award winners (excluding commendation winners) being elevated to the National Awards for Planning Excellence.

- Great Place
- Strategic Planning Project
- Improving Planning Processes
- Emerging Planner of the Year
- Climate Change & Resilience
- Community Wellbeing & Diversity
- Stakeholder Engagement
- Technology & Digital Innovation
- Planning with Country
- Planning Research
- Tertiary Student Project

STATE ONLY CATEGORIES

Offered only at State/Territory level, with the winners NOT being elevated to the National Awards for Planning Excellence.

- Planning Champion
- Performance and Innovation in Development Award
- Secondary Student Project
- Consultancy of the Year
- Local Government Team of the Year (Band 1)
- Local Government Team of the Year (Band 2-4)
- High Impact by a Small Team
- WA Regional Project
- Transport Planning Project
- Leadership and Service Award
- The W E Bold Memorial Medal
- PIA WA President's Awards
- Minister's Award

PIA



TERMS & CONDITIONS

In consideration of the Planning Institute of Australia allowing the Nominator to nominate in and participate in the event, the Nominator agrees to the following:

- All nomination materials must be submitted electronically. No hard copy submissions will be accepted.
- The Judging Panel does not need to confer an Award in all categories.
- **Only one Award Winner** will be granted in each general category and then elevated to the PIA National Awards
- The Judging Panel may select more than one entry across eligible categories to receive Commendation Awards.
- The Judging Panel has the right to consider an award nomination in an alternate or additional category.
- Contact with members of the Judging Panel to discuss an award nomination is strictly prohibited.
- Any material and information provided as part of the nomination (Nomination Materials) may be used by the Planning Institute of Australia (PIA) for the following **Purposes**:
 - entry of the Nomination into the Awards;
 - promotional purposes, including for this and future Awards events, both electronically and in material form;
 - judging purposes, including copying and disclosing the information to the judges;
 - reproduction in printed and electronic material – including the Commemorative Publication associated with this and future Awards events;
 - display during this and future Awards events – including electronically to the audience and in printed posters and signs in the venue;
 - publication on the PIA website, and other websites, for the purpose of showcasing Awards winners and nominations;
 - all other reasonable uses associated with the above activities, or that would reasonably be assumed to be connected with the operation of the Awards event.
- The Nominator grants PIA a perpetual, irrevocable, royalty free, worldwide, non-exclusive, license to use, modify, communicate, reproduce, publish and adapt the Nomination Materials for the Purposes both electronically and in material form.
- The Nominator warrants it holds sufficient rights in the Nomination Materials to provide the license granted above and that PIA's use of the Nomination Materials for the Purposes will not infringe any third party's intellectual property rights or moral rights.
- The Nominator warrants that nothing in the Nomination Materials is defamatory or gives rise to civil or criminal liability or otherwise violates any applicable law.
- The Nominator agrees to indemnify PIA against and in respect of all losses, damages and costs (including legal costs and disbursements on a solicitor-nominee or indemnity basis, whichever is higher), expenses, actions or claims (including third party claims) directly or indirectly incurred or suffered by PIA as a result of a breach or repudiation of these Conditions of Entry including a breach of a warranty, Nominator's act, omission or negligence, or the infringement of any third party's intellectual property rights.
- Nominators who have been misleading in their submissions or whose activities are not compliant with current relevant legislation may be withdrawn from the judging process or have Awards revoked.
- All nominations must be received by the published deadline. Nominations received after this date will not be considered.
- By submitting your nomination you agree that you have read, understood and accept these conditions.

PIA reserves the right to retain all entries. No part of the entries will be returned to Nominators unless requested upon nominating.

Entries will be excluded:

- a. If incomplete, such that they do not contain all the required information and images in the form.
- b. If received after the closing date.
- c. If they do not fulfil the binding clauses laid down in these conditions of entry.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

Do I have to be a member of PIA to enter a project in the Awards?

Unless the eligibility criteria for a specific category requires you to be a member, non-PIA members may enter the Awards.

Can I enter the same project in more than one category?

Yes, where appropriate projects may be entered in more than one Award category provided:

- each nomination addresses the specific selection criteria for each category for each project, and
- you pay the relevant entry fee for each category for applicable states/territories only.

How should I present my submission?

The written component of your entry must address the selection criteria and be presented in A4 format. Addressing the selection criteria in a clear and comprehensive manner is essential for the entry to be competitive as the judges use the information to help with assessment. We encourage the close involvement of the project team in preparing the submission as the judges are closely looking at the quality and robustness of the written content rather than the presentation materials.

Whilst some entrants spend money on graphic design for the entry this has no impact on the judging process.

Is the maximum word count and supporting evidence word/page limit strict?

Yes. Any words that are over the word count/page limit will not be viewed by the judging panel.

Will my submission materials be returned?

No. Entries receiving Awards become the property of PIA and will not be returned.

Is there a fee to nominate a project?

Nomination fees are an important part of the financial structure that enables PIA to continue to provide a high-quality Awards program.

Entry Fees are \$240+ GST per nomination. The fee is payable for nominations for all categories except:

- Tertiary Student Project
- Emerging Planner of the Year
- Great Place Award
- Planning Champion Award

PIA has determined that nomination fees will be waived for projects where government agencies or non-for-profit organisations are the sole nominee. This decision has been made in recognition of the difficulties these organisations can have either meeting the expense due to budgetary constraints or because of restrictions on expenditure for governance and/or probity reasons.

My project is not geographic/location specific and/or involved input from people around Australia. Which State or Territory Awards should I enter?

You are able to choose any State or Territory to enter a project that is not geographic or location specific and/or involved collaboration with people from a number of different locations but you can only submit one entry in one State or Territory. Multiple entries are invalid.

Types of projects that might fall within this scenario include books or academic papers.

Can I submit an International Project?

International projects can only be accepted on the condition they have been undertaken by an Australian project team/or individual based in Australia.

You are able to choose any State or Territory to enter an international project but you can only submit one entry in one State or Territory. Multiple entries are invalid.

International projects completed by project teams/or individuals who do not reside in Australia are ineligible to nominate.



Will my entry be reassigned to a different category?

Nominators must do their best to select the most suitable category when submitting their nomination, and ordinarily, judges will consider the nomination against the category in which it was lodged. However, in exceptional circumstances, the judges reserve the right to move the entry to another category as they see fit.

Will there be an award and/or commendation in every category?

Not necessarily. Judges analyse all the entries in a category, looking for projects that demonstrate excellence against the category objective and selection criteria. If none of the entries are judged as having reached the threshold of excellence to receive an award or commendation, then the category will pass unawarded.

Can I re-enter a project in subsequent years?

The following rules apply to re-entering projects in subsequent years:

You cannot re-enter the project into the same category in which it has previously received an award or commendation unless there has been significant change to the project, and PIA has accepted it. PIA is not obliged to notify the judges if a project has received an award or commendation in any category in previous years. Receiving an award or commendation in a previous year does not predetermine the result of the new entry.

Projects that have never received an award or commendation can be re-entered into any appropriate category, including the original category.

You may only re-enter a project that has already received an award or commendation in a previous year if it is entered into a different category. Where applicable, you must pay the nomination fee for all re-entries.

KEY DATES

Nominations Open	Monday 22 June
Nominations Close	Friday 11 September
Awards Dinner	Friday 20 November

OTHER QUESTIONS?

Contact us on 08 9382 2100 or email wa@planning.org.au

COMPULSORY MATERIALS FOR PROJECT CATEGORIES

WRITTEN

All entries are to be in electronic format only and must include:

Nomination Statement - maximum of 5 x A4 pages (which is approximately 2500 words) in a suitable sized font. There is no minimum number of words, however, the nomination statement must address the selection criteria and outline the key issues and ideas addressed in the project and the key contribution the project makes to best/leading practice and learning for the planning profession.

Project Summary – which includes an abstract of no more than 250 words using the Project Summary Sheet for use in award promotions and media. If you haven't done so yet, download the [Project Summary Template](#).

Additional supplementary material may be submitted electronically where it is the subject of the nomination. Examples include: a book, PhD thesis, strategic plan, framework document. However, the judges will refer primarily to the Nomination Statement.

IMAGES

A minimum of five (5) high quality images of the project are required for promotional purposes. Images embedded in the Nomination Statement must also be provided as standalone image files. Image file names should succinctly describe the subject matter. For example 'louise street piazza open day.jpg', not 'IMG00023.jpg'

The basic minimum requirements for electronic images are:

- File format: *.jpeg or *.png
- Resolution: 300ppi;
- Dimensions: ideally 1920px x 1080px
- Size: 5MB max.

No special characters/symbols in file names (e.g. +!@)

CONSENT AND LICENSES

Proof of Consent to enter the Nomination into the Awards is required from the client/initiating body and all other entities involved in the project.

Download a [Proof of Consent Form](#). Nominations in the Planning with Country category must also include an endorsement (signature/s) from the authorised representative of the Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander people and/or community, and/or community organisations involved in the project - space is provided for this on the Proof of Consent form.

Licenses from copyright/intellectual property/moral rights holders are required so PIA can reproduce, exhibit, publish or communicate the specific words, images, plans, illustrations, and all other material you submit with the Nomination. You may already have the license to grant PIA use of the material (it may have been covered in your contracts with the client/initiator and other entities), in which case no license forms are needed. Otherwise, rights holders should complete a license form to accompany the Nomination. If you do not provide any license forms, you are warranting that you already have license to grant PIA use of all the materials you provide.

Download a [Materials License, Attribution and Schedule Form](#).

KEY LINKS

Download **Project Summary** template

Download **Proof of Consent** form

Download **Materials License, Attribution & Schedule** form

[Click here to start online nomination](#)

COMPULSORY MATERIALS FOR EMERGING PLANNER OF THE YEAR

WRITTEN

All entries are to be in electronic format and must include, from the employer, colleague, or peer (“nominator”):

- **Nomination Statement** which is a single page submission addressing why the nominee should be considered for the award.

PIA will then contact nominees who need to provide:

- Their current **CV**
- **Written response to questions** which will be provided by PIA.

Additional supplementary material may be submitted electronically where it is the subject of the nomination. Examples include: a book, PhD thesis, strategic plan, framework document. However, the judges will refer primarily to the Nomination Statement.

IMAGES

At minimum, a high-quality headshot photo of the nominee is required for promotional purposes. If possible, providing four (4) more high quality images of the nominee is preferable, such as more headshots, photos of the nominee on site, or of the nominee with an example of their work or engaged in consultation, etc.

Image file names should succinctly describe the subject matter. For example ‘louise street piazza open day.jpg’, not ‘IMG00023.jpg’

The basic minimum requirements for electronic images are:

- File format: *.jpeg or *.png
- Resolution: 300ppi;
- Dimensions: ideally 1920px x 1080px
- Size: 5MB max.
- No special characters/symbols in file names (e.g. +!@)

[Click here to start online nomination](#)

COMPULSORY MATERIALS FOR PLANNING CHAMPION

WRITTEN

All entries are to be in electronic format only and must include:

- **Nomination Statement** - maximum of 5 x A4 pages (which is approximately 2500 words) in a suitable sized font. There is no minimum number of words, however, the nomination statement must address the selection criteria.
- **Abstract** - An abstract of no more than 250 words using the Project Summary Sheet for use in award promotions and media. If you haven't done so yet, download the [Project Summary Template](#).
- **2x Testimonials** - outlining the way that the nominee has championed planning.

Additional supplementary material may be submitted electronically where it is the subject of the nomination. Examples include: a book, PhD thesis, strategic plan, framework document. However, the judges will refer primarily to the Nomination Statement.

IMAGES

At minimum, a high-quality headshot photo of the nominee is required for promotional purposes. If possible, providing four (4) more high quality images of the nominee is preferable, such as more headshots, photos of the nominee on site, or of the nominee with an example of their work or engaged with the work that supports the nomination, etc.

Image file names should succinctly describe the subject matter. For example ‘louise street piazza open day.jpg’, not ‘IMG00023.jpg’

The basic minimum requirements for electronic images are:

- File format: *.jpeg or *.png
- Resolution: 300ppi;
- Dimensions: ideally 1920px x 1080px
- Size: 5MB max.
- No special characters/symbols in file names (e.g. +!@)

[Click here to start online nomination](#)

COMPULSORY MATERIALS FOR STATE TEAM CATEGORIES

WRITTEN

- Nomination Statement – maximum of 5 x A4 pages (approximately 2500 words) in a suitable sized font. There is no minimum word count; however, the statement must address the selection criteria above and clearly outline the team's key achievements, challenges overcome, impacts delivered, and contributions to best practice and the planning profession. Include evidence such as client testimonials, project outcomes, or metrics of impact.
- Team Summary – an abstract of no more than 250 words using the official Team Summary Sheet (downloadable template) for use in award promotions and media.

Additional supplementary material (e.g., project examples, testimonials, CVs, or reports) may be submitted electronically, but judges will refer primarily to the Nomination Statement.

IMAGES

A minimum of five (5) high-quality images (team photos, project visuals, or impact illustrations) are required for promotional purposes. Images embedded in the Nomination Statement must also be provided as standalone files.

Image file names should succinctly describe the subject matter. For example 'louise street piazza open day.jpg', not 'IMG00023.jpg'

The basic minimum requirements for electronic images are:

- File format: *.jpeg or *.png
- Resolution: 300ppi;
- Dimensions: ideally 1920px x 1080px
- Size: 5MB max.
- No special characters/symbols in file names (e.g. +!@)

NON COMPULSORY MATERIAL - STATE CATEGORIES ONLY

Entrants are encouraged to submit a short video to showcase their nomination. This may include key messages, visuals, maps, animations or voiceover explaining the award entry.

- The video will not be considered by judges as part of the formal assessment but may be used in the Award ceremony, online promotions and PIA communications, subject to consent. Videos must be provided as standalone files as follows:
- A concise video of up to 3 minutes is recommended
- File format: Common format suitable for public viewing (e.g. MP4)
- File names must be succinct and descriptive (e.g., "project-name-corridor-plan.jpg") – no special characters or symbols.
- Entrants confirm that all content submitted is cleared for public use and that necessary permissions have been obtained from any identifiable individuals, organisations or copyright holders.

[Click here to start online nomination](#)

[Click here to start online nomination](#)

NATIONAL AWARD CATEGORIES

GREAT PLACE

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises a great place, street or neighbourhood. Great places have a true sense of place, cultural and historical interest, community involvement and a vision for tomorrow. A great place is one that attracts, inspires and motivates people. It has a great atmosphere. It's a place that people are proud of. It sparks an individual sense of pride and contributes to the overall community wellbeing. It brings people together whether to eat, drink, sleep, play or work.

A great place might be a single building, a precinct or a neighbourhood.

It is awarded for a place that demonstrates a significant achievement for an area, either a single community or a geographic region, in accomplishing positive changes as a result of planning. It should demonstrate the implementation from plan to place that makes an outstanding contribution to advancing planning practice.

This award recognises achievement on the ground. It sees a plan come to life and result in a quality planning outcome. The place should be a physical place enjoyed by people and communities. Projects in rural, peri-urban and regional areas, in addition to urban areas, are encouraged to nominate.

Nominations should address the relevance of the project to one or more planning interest such as urban and regional planning, social planning, environmental planning, transport planning, urban design, economic development planning and/or planning law.

ELIGIBILITY

Nominations may be made by a member of the public or an individual or organisation engaged in planning practice.

Nominated places should be operational / functioning for at least 12 months prior to nominating for this Award.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address how the objective of the award responds to the following selection criteria:

- **Originality & Innovation** - Demonstrate how the nomination presents a visionary approach or innovative concept to address a specific need or issue.
- **Quality** - Demonstrate how the nomination achieved a very high quality outcome.
- **Strategic Alignment** - Demonstrate how the nomination aligns with a planning matter of local, regional, state/territory or national significance. Outline how the nomination advances the importance of planning.
- **Implementation & Transferability** - Demonstrate how the nomination will be/or has been successfully implemented including a summary of the benefits. Outline how the nomination has potential application for others and how the use of the nomination's elements and methodology can further the cause of good planning.
- **Collaboration** - Demonstrate how the engagement techniques and methods for the nominated project were appropriate, meaningful and applicable. Outline how the techniques contributed to a positive outcome.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY



STRATEGIC PLANNING PROJECT

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises an outstanding strategic planning project, which includes regional plans, structure plans, master plans, infrastructure plans, planning schemes and similar.

This award is presented to projects that demonstrate vision and innovation, and should have relevance for the profession at large. Projects can range in size and scale and should advance the practice of strategic planning with broad application.

Strategic planning projects may also be recognised for overcoming significant challenges or hurdles to realise outstanding strategic planning outcomes.

Nominated projects should foster efficient and effective outcomes, be genuinely strategic, provide leadership, be responsive to need, improve spatial outcomes and be deliverable.

ELIGIBILITY

Nominations may be made by a member of the public or an individual or organisation engaged in planning practice.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address how the objective of the award responds to the following selection criteria:

- **Originality & Innovation** - Demonstrate how the nomination presents a visionary approach or innovative concept to address a specific need or issue.
- **Quality** - Demonstrate how the nomination achieved a very high quality outcome.
- **Strategic Alignment** - Demonstrate how the nomination aligns with a planning matter of local, regional, state/territory or national significance. Outline how the nomination advances the importance of planning.
- **Implementation & Transferability** - Demonstrate how the nomination will be/or has been successfully implemented including a summary of the benefits. Outline how the nomination has potential application for others and how the use of the nomination's elements and methodology can further the cause of good planning.
- **Collaboration** - Demonstrate how the engagement techniques and methods for the nominated project were appropriate, meaningful and applicable. Outline how the techniques contributed to a positive outcome.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY

IMPROVING PLANNING PROCESSES

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises a significant improvement in planning process or major planning reform which leads to good planning outcomes undertaken by a planner, planning authorities (local or state/territory) and development entities.

This award seeks to recognise an initiative that converts a planning policy and strategy into practice and in doing so achieves a great planning outcome. It is awarded for organisational arrangements or planning initiatives that have achieved improved planning performance or processes and advanced the public interest.

This award seeks to recognise the value adding that occurs from a new planning framework or improvements in practice that lead to enhanced development or community outcomes. The award-winning projects will be ones that contribute to a positive planning culture.

Whilst the genesis of the outcome may be a report, document, scheme or strategy, it is the implementation, action and delivery on the ground which this award seeks to recognise.

ELIGIBILITY

Nominations may be made by a member of the public or an individual or organisation engaged in planning practice.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address how the objective of the award responds to the following selection criteria:

- **Originality & Innovation** - Demonstrate how the nomination presents a visionary approach or innovative concept to address a specific need or issue.
- **Quality** - Demonstrate how the nomination achieved a very high quality outcome.
- **Strategic Alignment** - Demonstrate how the nomination aligns with a planning matter of local, regional, state/territory or national significance. Outline how the nomination advances the importance of planning.
- **Implementation & Transferability** - Demonstrate how the nomination will be/or has been successfully implemented including a summary of the benefits. Outline how the nomination has potential application for others and how the use of the nomination's elements and methodology can further the cause of good planning.
- **Collaboration** - Demonstrate how the engagement techniques and methods for the nominated project were appropriate, meaningful and applicable. Outline how the techniques contributed to a positive outcome.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY

WAPC Western
Australian
Planning
Commission

EMERGING PLANNER OF THE YEAR

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises an Emerging Planner who has made an outstanding contribution or achieved something significant. The award recognises an Emerging Planner who represents balanced qualities in advocating for emerging planning professionals as well as high-quality planning outcomes in the workplace. This award recognises passion, integrity, involvement in the profession, achievements and ability to act as a role model rather than participating in “glossy” projects.

The individual shows strong promise and emerging capabilities in leadership, good emerging understanding of planning practice, innovation, enterprise and/or strategic thinking.

ELIGIBILITY

Nominees may be nominated by an employer, colleague or peer (“nominator”) by way of a single page submission addressing why the nominee should be considered for the award.

To be eligible for Emerging Planner of the Year, the nominee must be:

- a current Associate or Full Member of the Planning Institute of Australia who is within their fifth year after graduation from the first education qualifications that entitled them to become eligible to be a Member; and
- have been a member for a minimum of 6 months at the time of being nominated.

Nominees will be advised by PIA following the close of nominations and will be invited to provide their CV, a written response to questions (based on the selection criteria and provided by PIA), and images within seven days. Candidates who decline the nomination will be withdrawn from consideration.

Nominees should generally not nominate themselves and previous winners are ineligible for this award.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations for Emerging Planner of the Year will be assessed against the following criteria:

- Demonstrated planning skills and excellence in the professional workplace, i.e. innovation, strategic thinking, high quality of work, excellent emerging communication skills and a high capacity for problem solving.
- An activity or project that furthers the practice of planning or improves planning processes and makes a valuable contribution to teamwork.
- The individual's commitment to continuing professional development and involvement with professional institutes, networks, events, outreach work or relevant voluntary activity.
- The extent to which the individual serves as an advocate and role model for other emerging professionals in the industry or in the workplace; and
- Contribution to the planning industry and demonstrated emerging leadership qualities.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY



Department of **Planning,
Lands and Heritage**



CLIMATE CHANGE & RESILIENCE

OBJECTIVE

PIA believes that planning is critical to responding to a changing climate and that planners must take up the challenge of mitigation and adaptation in their work. Innovative approaches to planning can strengthen resilience, reduce greenhouse emissions and empower communities.

The objective of this award is to highlight the important and varied role planning can play in responding to climate change, and also to strengthen professional knowledge and highlight planning system improvements required to manage this challenge.

This award recognises projects and initiatives that provide outstanding leadership and/or innovation that makes a positive impact on addressing climate change and can be held up as case study of best practice for the planning profession.

ELIGIBILITY

Nominations may be made by a member of the public or an individual or organisation engaged in planning practice.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address how the objective of the award responds to the following selection criteria:

- **Originality & Innovation** - Demonstrate how the nomination presents a visionary approach or innovative concept to address a specific need or issue.
- **Quality** - Demonstrate how the nomination achieved, or will achieve, a very high quality outcome.
- **Strategic Alignment** - Demonstrate how the nomination aligns with a planning matter of local, regional, state/territory or national significance. Outline how the nomination advances the importance of planning.
- **Implementation & Transferability** - Demonstrate how the nomination will be/or has been successfully implemented including a summary of the benefits. Outline how the nomination has potential application for others and how the use of the nomination's elements and methodology can further the cause of good planning.
- **Collaboration** - Demonstrate how the engagement techniques and methods for the nominated project were appropriate, meaningful and applicable. Outline how the techniques contributed to a positive outcome.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY



COMMUNITY WELLBEING & DIVERSITY

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises initiatives that achieve outstanding social sustainability outcomes. The award will focus on planning for communities - in other words, planning for people. It will recognise initiatives that support the health, wellbeing and diversity of the community and enhance planning projects and social outcomes. This award recognises the role of planning in improving access to social infrastructure for residents in cities, regions and towns. The award will celebrate great social planning that assists and supports community cohesion, safety, and supports the culture of diversity.

It is awarded for work by a planner, planning organisation, government organisation or a member of the community for a policy, scheme, project, process or event that demonstrates how a thorough understanding of the needs, cultural inclusiveness and aspirations of a community is integral to sound planning and desired social outcomes.

ELIGIBILITY

Nominations may be made by a member of the public or an individual or organisation engaged in planning practice.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address how the objective of the award responds to the following selection criteria:

- **Originality & Innovation** - Demonstrate how the nomination presents a visionary approach or innovative concept to address a specific need or issue.
- **Quality** - Demonstrate how the nomination achieved, or will achieve, a very high quality outcome.
- **Strategic Alignment** - Demonstrate how the nomination aligns with a planning matter of local, regional, state/territory or national significance. Outline how the nomination advances the importance of planning.
- **Implementation & Transferability** - Demonstrate how the nomination will be/or has been successfully implemented including a summary of the benefits. Outline how the nomination has potential application for others and how the use of the nomination's elements and methodology can further the cause of good planning.
- **Collaboration** - Demonstrate how the engagement techniques and methods for the nominated project were appropriate, meaningful and applicable. Outline how the techniques contributed to a positive outcome.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises initiatives and outcomes in implementing best practice public engagement that achieves genuine collaborative outcomes that leads to improved built form, planning processes or social outcomes. This award is not about the extent of engagement undertaken but the influence, improvement and capacity building that was achieved through the engagement. This award is about genuine and meaningful engagement with stakeholders and the community.

It is awarded for engagement work undertaken by or with a planner, planning organisation, government organisation, consultants or a member of the community for a policy, scheme, project, process or event.

The award illustrates how a neighbourhood, community group, key stakeholder or other local non-governmental entity influenced or utilised the stakeholder engagement process to address a specific need or issue within the community.

ELIGIBILITY

Nominations may be made by a member of the public or an individual or organisation engaged in planning practice.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address how the objective of the award responds to the following selection criteria:

- **Originality & Innovation** - Demonstrate how the nomination presents a visionary approach or innovative concept to address a specific need or issue.
- **Quality** - Demonstrate how the nomination achieved, or will achieve, a very high quality outcome.
- **Strategic Alignment** - Demonstrate how the nomination aligns with a planning matter of local, regional, state/territory or national significance. Outline how the nomination advances the importance of planning.
- **Implementation & Transferability** - Demonstrate how the nomination will be/or has been successfully implemented including a summary of the benefits. Outline how the nomination has potential application for others and how the use of the nomination's elements and methodology can further the cause of good planning.
- **Collaboration** - Demonstrate how the engagement techniques and methods for the nominated project were appropriate, meaningful and applicable. Outline how the techniques contributed to a positive outcome.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY



PLANNING WITH COUNTRY

INTRODUCTION

This award seeks to recognise and bring light to changing the established ways of working with Country, so that more planning projects embrace and integrate Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander knowledge, culture, and tradition in all aspects of a project's life.

To shift from a business-as-usual practice and adopt a Country-centred approach, we need to change our way of working, guided by and involving Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and communities.

Reframing our approach to project planning, design and delivery from human centred to Country-centred, guided by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and/or communities, is central to this award.

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises outstanding Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander planning projects at all scales where there has been a meaningful partnership and collaboration with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and/or community.

This award aims to shine a light on, and award, projects that are informed by Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander peoples' knowledge, culture and tradition and the application of this knowledge, culture, and tradition to improve all planning practice and land management.

Projects need to demonstrate how the project embraces and integrates Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander peoples' knowledge, culture, and tradition, including in the project design, delivery and ongoing care and maintenance of the project, and how the project demonstrates improved outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people.

The award recognises projects which advance or address the needs and aspirations of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people involved in the project.

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander planning may take many forms, but at its core, it involves recognition and respect for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples' connections to and responsibilities for managing and Caring for their Country.

This award recognises planning and design developed in partnership with, and informed by, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, including traditional owners, local elders, land councils, artists, and community organisations. The award will also recognise the involvement of the relevant Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander peoples and/or community in the project or policy to ensure its cultural integrity and authenticity.

ELIGIBILITY

Nominations must be endorsed by Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander people and/or community, and/or community organisations. Nominations may be made by a member of the public or an individual or organisation engaged in planning practice.

Planning with Country selection criteria continues on following page.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address how the objective of the award responds to the following selection criteria:

- **Originality & Innovation** - Demonstrate how the nomination presents a visionary approach or innovative concept to address a specific need or issue.
- **Quality** - Demonstrate how the nomination achieved a very high quality outcome.
- **Strategic Alignment** - Demonstrate how the nomination aligns with a planning matter of local, regional, state/territory or national significance. Outline how the nomination advances the importance of planning.
- **Implementation & Transferability** - Demonstrate how the nomination will be/or has been successfully implemented including a summary of the benefits. Outline how the nomination's methodology has potential application for others and how the use of the nomination's methodology can further the cause of good planning.
- **Collaboration** - Demonstrate how the engagement techniques and methods for the nominated project were appropriate, meaningful and applicable. Outline how the techniques contributed to a positive outcome.



PROUDLY SPONSORED BY



TECHNOLOGY & DIGITAL INNOVATION

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises the importance of technology and digital innovation in 21st century planning.

Digital opportunities and adapting technology to assist planning in our changing environment is critical. This award recognises the value that digital innovation and technology processes provide for planners, planning authorities and development entities. It will also highlight the importance of adapting information to improve processes and practices for communities in the virtual world and the real world. It also acknowledges that planning solutions can be considered and tested in the virtual world before being applied in the real world.

This award shows the power that digital information provides to city and town formation, city and town evolution and city and town shaping infrastructure.

This award recognises leadership and innovation in aspects of planning related to smart cities, digital transformation and managing disruption, providing open data to benefit all planners and the community to inform better decisions, and improved technology that provides more efficient planning systems. T

he award is presented to the recipient(s) who demonstrate a more detailed understanding of the world which we inhabit and provide for better planning solutions and decisions which are data rich and which embrace other professions to ensure better more timely decisions are reached.

ELIGIBILITY

Nominations may be made by a member of the public or an individual or organisation engaged in planning practice.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address how the objective of the award responds to the following selection criteria:

- **Originality & Innovation** - Demonstrate how the nomination presents a visionary approach or innovative concept to address a specific need or issue.
- **Quality** - Demonstrate how the nomination achieved, or will achieve, a very high quality outcome.
- **Strategic Alignment** - Demonstrate how the nomination aligns with a planning matter of local, regional, state/territory or national significance. Outline how the nomination advances the importance of planning.
- **Implementation & Transferability** - Demonstrate how the nomination will be/or has been successfully implemented including a summary of the benefits. Outline how the nomination has potential application for others and how the use of the nomination's elements and methodology can further the cause of good planning.
- **Collaboration** - Demonstrate how the engagement techniques and methods for the nominated project were appropriate, meaningful and applicable. Outline how the techniques contributed to a positive outcome.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY

PLANNING RESEARCH

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises an outstanding achievement in planning research.

It is awarded for a substantial piece of planning research which makes an outstanding contribution to the understanding of contemporary issues relating to planning in Australia. The award seeks to recognise work that extends planning debate and knowledge with emphasis on sound evidence as opposed to opinion. The research should have broad applicability to the planning profession. Commissioned research which presents an impartial view of the research topic can be considered in this category.

The award will be given to research that is impartial and evidence-based. This may be part of commissioned research, academic research, or as part of a doctoral study (PhD).

ELIGIBILITY

Nominations may be made by a member of the public or an individual or organisation engaged in planning practice.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address how the objective of the award responds to the following selection criteria:

- **Originality & Innovation** - Demonstrate how the nomination presents a visionary approach or innovative concept to address a specific need or issue.
- **Quality** - Demonstrate how the nomination achieved, or will achieve, a very high quality outcome.
- **Strategic Alignment** - Demonstrate how the nomination aligns with a planning matter of local, regional, state/territory or national significance. Outline how the nomination advances the importance of planning.
- **Implementation & Transferability** - Demonstrate how the nomination will be/or has been successfully implemented including a summary of the benefits. Outline how the nomination has potential application for others and how the use of the nomination's elements and methodology can further the cause of good planning.
- **Collaboration** - Demonstrate how the engagement techniques and methods for the nominated project were appropriate, meaningful and applicable. Outline how the techniques contributed to a positive outcome.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY

TERTIARY STUDENT PROJECT

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises outstanding planning work by an individual or group of tertiary students. It is awarded for a substantial project, report, book, thesis, article or piece of research which makes a significant contribution to planning and development outcomes.

Nominations can address any, or a combination, of the planning interests such as urban and regional planning, social planning, environmental planning, transport planning, urban design, economic development planning and planning law.

The award will be given to a project completed by the student during studies and assessed as part of a PIA accredited university program.

ELIGIBILITY

Nominations may be made by an individual or group of tertiary students engaged in a planning related project. They may be self-nominated or nominated by a university, employer or PIA member.

Projects done as part of doctoral (PhD) studies are ineligible for this category and should be submitted in the Planning Research category instead.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address how the objective of the award responds to the following selection criteria:

- **Originality & Innovation** - Demonstrate how the nomination presents a visionary approach or innovative concept to address a specific need or issue.
- **Quality** - Demonstrate how the nomination achieved, or will achieve, a very high quality outcome.
- **Strategic Alignment** - Demonstrate how the nomination aligns with a planning matter of local, regional, state/territory or national significance. Outline how the nomination advances the importance of planning.
- **Implementation & Transferability** - Demonstrate how the nomination will be/or has been successfully implemented including a summary of the benefits. Outline how the nomination has potential application for others and how the use of the nomination's elements and methodology can further the cause of good planning.
- **Collaboration** - Demonstrate how the engagement techniques and methods for the nominated project were appropriate, meaningful and applicable. Outline how the techniques contributed to a positive outcome.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY

STATE AWARD CATEGORIES

PLANNING CHAMPION

For Division Awards only

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises non-planners (a person, group or business organisation) for their advocacy or for making a significant contribution and lasting presence to the urban and regional environment over the last 1-2 years.

It is awarded to a non-planner/s who promote and advocate for good planning and planning outcomes, and the value of planning and/or the planning profession to the public (i.e. through the promotion or reporting of planning issues that raise the positive profile of planning).

ELIGIBILITY

Nominations may be made by a member of the public or an individual or organisation engaged in planning practice.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations for the Planning Champion will be assessed against the following criteria:

- Demonstrate how they have advocated or enabled a good planning outcome to be achieved.
- Demonstrate how they have increased awareness in the community about the value of planners and planning.
- Demonstrate how their influence has had a positive impact on the community or planning project.
- Demonstrate how the outcome has been realistic and can be replicated.
- Demonstrate the contribution they have made to planning practice and/or learning for the planning profession.

The nomination should describe how the nominee has built support for planning and increased understanding of planning issues and values.

Nominations should be supported by two or more written testimonials outlining the way that the nominee has championed planning.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY

PERFORMANCE AND INNOVATION IN DEVELOPMENT AWARD

For Division Awards only

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises an outstanding development approval outcome.

This award is presented to small, medium or large scale projects that demonstrate vision, innovation, improvement, communication, collaboration and community benefit. Projects should advance the practice of development assessment to achieve positive land use planning outcomes. The size of a project is not necessarily a determining factor.

Developments may also be recognised for overcoming significant challenges or hurdles to realise outstanding planning outcomes in an urban, regional or rural setting.

Nominated projects and processes have obtained a development approval or been constructed.

ELIGIBILITY

Nominations may be made by a member of the public, developer or an individual or organisation engaged in planning practice. Approvals that have been obtained through the State Administrative Tribunal are ineligible (unless evidence shows otherwise).

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address how the objective of the award responds to the following selection criteria:

- **Vision and innovation** - Demonstrate how the nomination presents an original, innovative or performance-based approach to achieve a positive outcome. Nominations are to clearly identify why this approach achieved a superior outcome.
- **Improvement** - Demonstrate how the nomination aligns with planning scheme or organisational objectives and advances a beneficial outcome for the assessment process, site, place, community. The improvements should be measurable and transferable to other projects or processes.
- **Communication and Collaboration** - Demonstrate how the proposal went over and beyond a usual development or assessment process to deliver highly collaborative and authentic development outcome. The project must recognise the important role of relevant stakeholders to achieve an innovative, balanced and beneficial outcome. *Note: Nominations are to provide evidence that the local authority has been notified of the nomination. Demonstration of highly collaborative and authentic community engagement is desirable and will be considered favourably, but is not essential.*
- **Community benefit** - Demonstrate how the nomination has or will successfully implement a significant community benefit, including the existing or potential benefits.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY



SECONDARY STUDENT PROJECT

For Division Awards only

OBJECTIVE

This Award recognises outstanding geography and planning by a secondary student or group of students.

It is awarded for a substantial project or assignment that contributes to students understanding of geography, planning and/or development outcomes.

Nominations can address any of the planning and geography interests, such as urban and regional planning, social planning, environmental planning, transport planning, urban design and economic development planning.

ELIGIBILITY

- An individual or group of secondary school students undertaking geography.
- May be self-nominated or nominated by a secondary school or employer or PIA member.
- Must be a piece of work completed during studies.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should respond to the following selection criteria:

- **Understanding and Innovation** - Demonstrates knowledge of concepts relevant to the Geography and Planning discipline and shows originality in ideas, use of land or problem-solving. (e.g. traffic congestion, housing shortages)
- **Research & Practicality** - is practical and shows evidence of a variety of credible sources & research, data collection, or use of real-world examples to support ideas.
- **Presentation** - delivers work to a high presentation standard that communicates research effectively inclusive of graphics (maps, models, posters, diagrams), writing and/or other presentation tools.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY



Curtin University

in partnership with



Geographical Association
of Western Australia [Inc.]

CONSULTANCY OF THE YEAR

For Division Awards only

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises the achievements of planning consultancies in Western Australia that demonstrate excellence in professional practice, high levels of service, commitment to sustainable development, and leadership within the planning profession.

The category is open to consultancies of all sizes and seeks to celebrate outstanding planning outcomes, organisational culture, and contributions to the future of planning, regardless of workforce scale.

ELIGIBILITY

To be eligible for this category, organisations must:

- Operate as a planning consultancy in Western Australia;
- Employ planning staff directly involved in planning practice;
- Have a minimum of two (2) Full Members of the Planning Institute of Australia within the organisation.
- Not have been the recipient of this award in the previous year (previous recipients may reapply after that period).

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address how the objective of the award responds to the following selection criteria, relevant to the current year:

- **Excellence in Planning Practice**
– Demonstrate application of imaginative, innovative, or high-quality approaches and specialist knowledge that deliver strong planning outcomes in WA.
- **Client / Applicant / Partner Satisfaction**
– Provide evidence of satisfaction with the services provided, including outcomes achieved, responsiveness, and professionalism.
- **Industry Contribution** – Outline how the consultancy and its staff contribute to the growth and development of the planning profession through professional networks, PIA involvement, thought leadership, mentoring, or advocacy.
- **Staff Training and Development** – Provide evidence of commitment to professional development, learning opportunities, and capability building for staff over the past 12 months.
- **The Future of Planning** – Demonstrate initiatives that support emerging professionals, innovation, ethical practice, diversity, or future-focused planning practice.
- **Organisational Culture and Leadership**
– Explain what makes the consultancy an outstanding place to work, including organisational values, leadership approaches, workplace practices, staff wellbeing, retention strategies, and contribution to the wider community.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY

MCLEOD
FISHER &
HAMDORF

LOCAL GOVERNMENT TEAM OF THE YEAR (BAND 1)

For Division Awards only

OBJECTIVE

This category recognises the achievements of planning teams working within WA local government authorities.

ELIGIBILITY

A minimum of three (3) Full Members of the Planning Institute of Australia within the staff.

SELECTION CRITERIA

In this category we are looking to learn about the service that your team has provided, the project(s) that have been undertaken, challenges faced, ability to work in challenging or complex stakeholder environments and ultimately how happy/satisfied client/applicants/partners were with the level of service.

You are asked to demonstrate relevant to the current year:

- Development and application of imaginative approaches and techniques to achieve high-quality planning solutions
- Client/applicant/partner's/community satisfaction with the service provided
- The ability of planners to manage multi-disciplinary projects or to work within a multi-disciplinary environment
- **Industry affiliation of the organisation and individuals** - how does your organisation and staff contribute to the growth and development of the planning profession through networks and memberships?
- **Staff Training and Development Commitment** - provide evidence of your organisation's commitment to staff professional development over the past 12 months
- **The Future of Planning** - provide evidence of your organisation's initiatives regarding young and emerging professionals in the planning profession
- **What makes your local government the best to work for?** - provide evidence to support your answer -this could include organisation values, management styles, support and involvement in the local community, workplace practices, staff support, public profile; provide evidence to show your companies strategies to not just attract staff but ensure you retain them for future growth, and active commitment to a positive workplace culture.
- **Describe why you believe your team should win this Local Government Excellence Award for 2026**

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY



LOCAL GOVERNMENT TEAM OF THE YEAR (BAND 2-4)

For Division Awards only

OBJECTIVE

This category recognises the achievements of planning teams working within WA local government authorities.

ELIGIBILITY

A minimum of one (1) Full Member of the Planning Institute of Australia within the staff

SELECTION CRITERIA

In this category we are looking to learn about the service that your team has provided, the project(s) that have been undertaken, challenges faced, ability to work in challenging or complex stakeholder environments and ultimately how happy/satisfied client/applicants/partners were with the level of service.

You are asked to demonstrate relevant to the current year:

- Development and application of imaginative approaches and techniques to achieve high-quality planning solutions
- Client/applicant/partner's/community satisfaction with the service provided
- The ability of planners to manage multi-disciplinary projects or to work within a multi-disciplinary environment
- **Industry affiliation of the organisation and individuals** - how does your organisation and staff contribute to the growth and development of the planning profession through networks and memberships?
- **Staff Training and Development Commitment** - provide evidence of your organisation's commitment to staff professional development over the past 12 months
- **The Future of Planning** - provide evidence of your organisation's initiatives regarding young and emerging professionals in the planning profession
- **What makes your local government the best to work for?** - provide evidence to support your answer -this could include organisation values, management styles, support and involvement in the local community, workplace practices, staff support, public profile; provide evidence to show your companies strategies to not just attract staff but ensure you retain them for future growth, and active commitment to a positive workplace culture.
- **Describe why you believe your team should win this Local Government Excellence Award for 2026**

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY



HIGH IMPACT PLANNING BY A SMALL TEAM

For Division Awards only

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises the outstanding achievements and disproportionate positive influence of small planning teams in Western Australia, whether within private consultancies, State Government agencies, community organisations, or multi-disciplinary groups. It celebrates teams of limited size (typically 1-10 full-time equivalent staff involved in planning activities) that deliver high-impact, innovative, and high-quality planning outcomes.

Nominations highlight how small teams punch above their weight through creativity, agility, client/partner satisfaction, multi-disciplinary collaboration, ethical practice, and meaningful contributions to sustainable development, community benefit, and the planning profession in WA.

ELIGIBILITY

A minimum of one (1) Full Member of the Planning Institute of Australia within the nominated team and team must be responsible for planning projects or initiatives delivered in Western Australia.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address how the objective of the award responds to the following selection criteria:

- **Big Impact and Future Focus** Highlight what makes the team stand out for “high impact” (e.g., transformative project results, community benefits, expertise and market share) and initiatives supporting the future of planning (e.g., ethical practice, sustainability, or emerging planner support).
- **Application of Imaginative Approaches** Demonstrate the use of creative, innovative, or agile techniques to achieve high-quality planning solutions and positive outcomes in WA, particularly in resource-constrained environments.
- **Client/Applicant/Partner Satisfaction** Provide evidence of high levels of satisfaction from clients, applicants, partners, or stakeholders, including testimonials, feedback, or measurable results.
- **Ability to Manage Complex or Multi-Disciplinary Projects** Show capability to handle challenging stakeholder environments, complex projects, or work effectively in multi-disciplinary settings despite small team size.
- **Industry Contribution and Professional Development** Outline how the team/organisation contributes to the growth of the planning profession (e.g., through networks, memberships, mentoring, or initiatives for emerging professionals) and demonstrates commitment to staff training/development over the past 12 months.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY

WA REGIONAL PROJECT

For Division Awards only

OBJECTIVE

This category recognises outstanding planning projects undertaken by a State Agency, Local Government, Community Group or Consultancy in an area outside of the Perth Metropolitan Region. The project could be a policy, a plan, or a new project in its own right.

ELIGIBILITY

A project delivered in WA, outside of the Perth Metropolitan Region.

Project must be complete and implemented.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address the following selection criteria:

- Projects completed in the two years before 1 July 2026 are eligible for entry, even if the planning component was completed years prior
- Demonstrate how the nomination addresses a specific regional issue, challenge or opportunity
- Contributes positively to the local community through economic development, social cohesion and well-being
- Demonstrate how the nomination will be/or has been successfully implemented, including a summary of the benefits

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY

TRANSPORT PLANNING PROJECT

For Division Awards only

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises exemplary transport planning projects that demonstrate outstanding vision, innovation and leadership in advancing sustainable, efficient, equitable and integrated mobility outcomes. It honours initiatives such as long-range transportation plans, public transport strategies, pedestrian and bicycle (active transport) plans, corridor transportation studies, integrated land use and transport frameworks, and similar projects.

Initiatives should address key transport challenges while delivering broader planning benefits such as improved accessibility, reduced emissions, enhanced safety, economic vitality, liveability and resilience. Nominations should illustrate how the project integrates transport planning with strategic, urban design, environmental and community outcomes, advancing the planning profession in Western Australia.

ELIGIBILITY

Nominations may be made by an individual or organisation engaged in planning practice. Nominated projects must be adopted or implemented initiatives delivered in Western Australia.

SELECTION CRITERIA

Nominations should address the following selection criteria:

- **Integrated Transport and Planning Outcomes** Demonstrate how the project advances transport planning practice in Western Australia.
- **Mobility, Accessibility and Network Performance** Demonstrate how the project improves the effectiveness of the transport system for community and economic benefit.
- **Deliverability and Implementation** Demonstrate how the project moves beyond strategy to real world outcomes.
- **Evidence Based Planning and Technical Excellence** Demonstrate rigorous analysis, sound methodology and professional planning judgment.
- **Collaboration** Demonstrate how the engagement techniques and methods for the nominated project were appropriate, meaningful and applicable. Outline how the techniques contributed to a positive outcome.

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY

LEADERSHIP AND SERVICE AWARD

For Division Awards only

OBJECTIVE

This category celebrates the leadership and service of a planning professional who exhibits an outstanding level of commitment to the planning profession in WA.

ELIGIBILITY

This category is open to members of the Planning Institute of Australia who demonstrate outstanding leadership and commitment within their organisation and the profession, regardless of years of experience or service.

SELECTION CRITERIA

In this category we are looking to learn about the project(s) that you have undertaken, challenges faced, ability to work in challenging or complex stakeholder environments and ultimately how happy/satisfied client/applicants/partners were with the level of service. We are also looking for what you do in addition to your position requirements that demonstrate service within the community, education, or broader profession.

You are asked to demonstrate:

- Development and application of imaginative approaches and techniques to achieve high-quality planning solutions
- Client/applicant/partners satisfaction with the service provided
- Ability to positively contribute or lead multi-disciplinary projects or to work within a multi-disciplinary environment
- Commitment to personal and professional development, business improvements and active participation in professional and educational activities
- Why they/you should win this award

PROUDLY SPONSORED BY



THE WE BOLD MEMORIAL MEDAL

For Division Awards only

OBJECTIVE

This award is made in honour of the lifetime achievements of William Ernest Bold, Town Clerk for the City of Perth from 1900 to 1944. From the early 1900s, William Bold was Western Australia's most powerful advocate for city and suburban planning. He used his influence and skills as Western Australia's most senior local government officer to make relevant to the needs of metropolitan Perth the best ideas and ideals of the international planning fraternity while never losing sight of the fact that planning is essentially about improving life and living.

He was a founder of the town planning movement in Western Australia and one who, from the passing of the first town planning acts in the UK, realised the importance of and the need for town planning legislation. The scope of William Bold's lifetime achievements must stand as unique in the annals of the history of town planning in Western Australia. Like him, we should seek to understand the community that we serve while, in all our endeavours, aspiring to plan for a better world.

Previous recipients of this award include: Margaret Fielman, John Treloar, Charles Johnson AM and David Caddy

SELECTION CRITERIA

The award recognises an eminent town planner, either active or retired, whose professional career demonstrates an exemplary response to the example provided by William Bold and his achievements in town planning and lifetime commitment to seeking a better world.

Nominations should provide appropriate and substantive evidence to support the claim of lifetime achievement.

The WE Bold Memorial Medal is only awarded to a worthy candidate and is not necessarily awarded every year.

PROTOCOLS

The WE Bold Memorial Medal holders will be encouraged to wear the medal to all official WA Division PIA functions. In time, a college of holders will be recognised at functions for their lifetime achievements by wearing the most important award of the Western Australian Division.

PIA WA PRESIDENT'S AWARD - FOR INDUSTRY COLLABORATION

For Division Awards only

OBJECTIVE

This award recognises outstanding achievements in collaborative planning efforts that bring together stakeholders from the public sector, private industry, and local communities to deliver planning outcomes that are inclusive, innovative, and impactful.

SELECTION CRITERIA

- **Quality and Depth of Collaboration** - Demonstrates meaningful and sustained collaboration between diverse stakeholders, including local authorities, private developers, consultants, and community groups.
- **Innovation and Problem-Solving** - Novel methods or frameworks developed through collaboration. Creative resolution of planning or governance challenges. Innovative approaches to overcoming silos or jurisdictional boundaries. Adaptive and responsive processes that evolved to meet stakeholder needs.
- **Shared Vision and Outcomes** - Tangible collaborative outcomes achieved that would not have been possible without a joint effort.
- **Impact and Legacy** - Lasting benefits of the collaborative approach on the planning outcome and beyond. Transferability or replicability of the model for future projects. Evidence of cultural or institutional change toward more collaborative practice.

Nominations are not sought for this category. The President's Award is judged by the PIA WA President. The Judging Panel may be consulted in the determination of this Award.

MINISTER'S AWARD

For Division Awards only

This award is selected by the Minister from projects identified through the award nomination process and judging panel advice.



WESTERN AUSTRALIA

AWARDS FOR
PLANNING
EXCELLENCE

wa@planning.org.au | 08 9382 2100